

## 《高大法學論叢》審稿規則

(2010年05月19日高大法學論叢編輯委員會議決議內容修訂)

- 一、為維持「高大法學論叢」之學術品質，全部來稿應依本規則審查。
- 二、審查由總編輯商請相關領域專家學者行之，採雙向匿名審查。來稿與本刊性質或格式不符者，總編輯可得為形式退稿之決定。
- 三、審查人應就送審稿件為同意刊登、不同意刊登、同意修改後刊登、修改後送原審稿人審查等之建議。編審委員會應就審查人所提建議為同意刊登或不同意刊登之決定。
- 四、稿件數量如超過編幅容量，總編輯得依領域平衡及論文時效性等原則，決定刊登之稿件。
- 五、來稿作者如有要求開具刊登證明，總編輯應俟審查程序完畢後，始得依實際進度開具刊登證明或同意刊登證明。
- 六、本規則經高大法學論叢編輯委員會通過後實施，修正時亦同。

# **National University of Kaohsiung Law Journal**

## **Regulations for Examining and Approving Manuscripts**

Last amended by the editorial board of National University of Kaohsiung Law Journal according to the decision content on 19 May 2010

### **Article 1**

In order to maintain the quality of National University of Kaohsiung Law Journal (hereinafter, *NUK Law Journal*), all manuscripts submitted should be reviewed in accordance with these regulations.

### **Article 2**

The editor-in-chief should invite qualified specialists and scholars with appropriate expertise to conduct reviews, which must be anonymous bi-directionally. The editor-in-chief may make the decision of refusal for manuscripts which are not in line with *NUK Law Journal's* orientation or format.

### **Article 3**

Reviews should categorize a manuscript as “accepted for publication”, “not suitable for publication” and “accepted for publication only after revision” or “re-reviewed by the original reviewer after revision”. The editorial board should decide whether to publish a manuscript in terms of reviewers’ comments.

### **Article 4**

If manuscripts accepted for publication exceed the page limit of an issue, the editor-in chief shall, upon his/her discretion, make

selections based on balance of fields and/or urgency.

**Article 5**

Proof of publication or acceptance for publication, if requested, can be issued by the editor-in-chief accordingly, but only upon the satisfactory completion of the review process.

**Article 6**

The regulations herein and any amendment thereto take effect upon the ratification by the editorial board of *NUK Law Journal*.